2007 APR -4 PM 7: 38

OFFICE WEST VIRGINIA SECRETARY OF STATE

WEST VIRGINIA LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION, 2007

ENROLLED

House Bill No. 2956

(By Delegates Webster, DeLong, Ellem, Fleischauer, Hamilton, Hrutkay, M. Poling, Proudfoot, Morgan, Varner and White)

Passed March 9, 2007

In Effect Ninety Days from Passage

ENROLLED

2007 APR -4 PM 7: 38

OFFICE WEST MIGINIA SECRETARY OF STATE

H. B. 2956

(BY DELEGATES WEBSTER, DELONG, ELLEM, FLEISCHAUER, HAMILTON, HRUTKAY, M. POLING, PROUDFOOT, MORGAN, VARNER AND WHITE)

[Passed March 9, 2007; in effect ninety days from passage.]

AN ACT to amend and reenact §56-1-1 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, and to amend said code by adding thereto a new section, designated §56-1-1a, relating to civil actions filed in the courts of the state; repealing unconstitutional venue provision; and the codification of the doctrine of forum non conveniens

Be it enacted by the Legislature of West Virginia:

That §56-1-1 of the Code of West Virginia, 1931, as amended, be amended and reenacted; and that said code be amended by adding thereto a new section designated as §56-1-1a, all to read as follows:

ARTICLE 1. VENUE

§ 56-1-1. Venue generally.

- 1 (a) Any civil action or other proceeding, except where it
- 2 is otherwise specially provided, may hereafter be brought in
- 3 the circuit court of any county:

24

25

26

- 4 (1) Wherein any of the defendants may reside or the cause of action arose, except that an action of ejectment or unlawful detainer must be brought in the county wherein the land sought to be recovered, or some part thereof, is;
- 8 (2) If a corporation be a defendant, wherein its principal 9 office is or wherein its mayor, president or other chief officer 10 resides; or if its principal office be not in this state, and its 11 mayor, president or other chief officer do not reside therein, 12 wherein it does business; or if it be a corporation organized 13 under the laws of this state which has its principal office 14 located outside of this state and which has no office or place 15 of business within the state, the circuit court of the county in which the plaintiff resides or the circuit court of the county in 16 17 which the seat of state government is located shall have jurisdiction of all actions at law or suits in equity against the 18 19 corporation, where the cause of action arose in this state or 20 grew out of the rights of stockholders with respect to 21 corporate management;
- 22 (3) If it be to recover land or subject it to a debt, where 23 the land or any part may be;
 - (4) If it be against one or more nonresidents of the state, where any one of them may be found and served with process or may have estate or debts due him or them;
- 25 (5) If it be to recover a loss under any policy of insurance upon either property, life or health or against injury to a person, where the property insured was situated either at the date of the policy or at the time when the right of action accrued or the person insured had a legal residence at the date of his or her death or at the time when the right of action accrued;
- 34 (6) If it be on behalf of the state in the name of the 35 attorney general or otherwise, where the seat of government 36 is; or
- (7) If a judge of a circuit be interested in a case which,
 but for such interest, would be proper for the jurisdiction of

- his or her court, the action or suit may be brought in anycounty in an adjoining circuit.
- 41 (b) Whenever a civil action or proceeding is brought in the county where the cause of action arose under the 42 43 provisions of subsection (a) of this section, if no defendant 44 resides in the county, a defendant to the action or proceeding may move the court before which the action is pending for a 45 46 change of venue to a county where one or more of the 47 defendants resides and upon a showing by the moving 48 defendant that the county to which the proposed change of 49 venue would be made would better afford convenience to the 50 parties litigant and the witnesses likely to be called, and if the ends of justice would be better served by the change of 51 52 venue, the court may grant the motion.

§56-1-1a. Forum non conveniens.

- 1 (a) In any civil action if a court of this state, upon a 2 timely written motion of a party, finds that in the interest of justice and for the convenience of the parties a claim or 3 4 action would be more properly heard in a forum outside this 5 state, the court shall decline to exercise jurisdiction under the doctrine of forum non conveniens and shall stay or dismiss 6 7 the claim or action, or dismiss any plaintiff: Provided, That 8 the plaintiff's choice of a forum is entitled to great deference, 9 but this preference may be diminished when the plaintiff is a nonresident and the cause of action did not arise in this state. 10 In determining whether to grant a motion to stay or dismiss 11 12 an action, or dismiss any plaintiff under the doctrine of forum 13 non conveniens, the court shall consider:
- (1) whether an alternate forum exists in which the claimor action may be tried;
- 16 (2) whether maintenance of the claim or action in the 17 courts of this state would work a substantial injustice to the 18 moving party;
- 19 (3) whether the alternate forum, as a result of the 20 submission of the parties or otherwise, can exercise

- 21 jurisdiction over all the defendants properly joined to the
- 22 plaintiff's claim;
- 23 (4) the state in which the plaintiff(s) reside;
- 24 (5) the state in which the cause of action accrued;
- 25 (6) whether the balance of the private interests of the 26 parties and the public interest of the state predominate in 27 favor of the claim or action being brought in an alternate 28 forum, which shall include consideration of the extent to 29 which an injury or death resulted from acts or omissions that 30 occurred in this state. Factors relevant to the private interests of the parties include, but are not limited to, the relative ease 31 32 of access to sources of proof; availability of compulsory 33 process for attendance of unwilling witnesses; the cost of 34 obtaining attendance of willing witnesses; possibility of a 35 view of the premises, if a view would be appropriate to the 36 action; and all other practical problems that make trial of a 37 case casy, expeditious and inexpensive. Factors relevant to 38 the public interest of the state include, but are not limited to. 39 the administrative difficulties flowing from court congestion; the interest in having localized controversies decided within 40 the state: the avoidance of unnecessary problems in conflict 41 42 of laws, or in the application of foreign law; and the 43 unfairness of burdening citizens in an unrelated forum with 44 iury duty:
- 45 (7) whether not granting the stay or dismissal would 46 result in unreasonable duplication or proliferation of 47 litigation; and
- 48 (8) whether the alternate forum provides a remedy.
- (b) A motion pursuant to subsection (a) of this section is timely if it is filed either concurrently or prior to the filing of either a motion pursuant to Rule twelve of the West Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure or a responsive pleading to the first complaint that gives rise to the grounds for such a motion: *Provided*, That a court may, for good cause shown, extend the period for the filing of such a motion.

- (c) If the statute of limitations in the alternative forum expires while the claim is pending in a court of this state, the court shall grant a dismissal under this section only if each defendant waives the right to assert a statute of limitation defense in the alternative forum. The court may further condition a dismissal under this section to allow for the reinstatement of the same cause of action in the same forum in the event a suit on the same cause of action or on any cause of action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence is commenced in an appropriate alternative forum within sixty days after the dismissal under this section and such alternative forum declines jurisdiction.
- (d) Except as provided in subsection (b), section one of this article, if an action involves both legal resident and non-resident plaintiffs, the court may not stay or dismiss the action under subsection (a) if the plaintiffs who are legal residents of this state are properly joined in the action and the action arose out of a single occurrence. The court shall dismiss a claim under subsection (a) if the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that a party was joined solely for the purpose of obtaining or maintaining jurisdiction in this state and the party's claim would be more properly heard in a forum outside this state.
- (e) In actions filed pursuant to Rule twenty-three of the West Virginia Rules of Civil Procedure the provisions of this section shall apply only to the class representative(s).
- (f) A court that grants a motion to stay or dismiss an action pursuant to this section shall set forth specific findings of fact and conclusions of law.
- (g) For the purposes of this section "legal resident" means an individual who is a resident of West Virginia at the time the cause of action arose or at the time the action is filed, without regard to the individual's country of citizenship or national origin. The term does not include an individual who adopts a residence in this State in bad faith for purposes of avoiding the application of this section.

That Joint Committee on Enrolled Bills hereby certifies that the foregoing bill is correctly enrolled.

Chairman Senate Committee Chairman House Committee Originating in the House. In effect ninety days from passage. Clerk of the Senate Clerk of the House of Delegates President of the Senate Speaker of the House of Delegates (WWWel_this the _ 2007.

PRESENTED TO THE GOVERNOR

MAR 2 2 2007

Time